UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,641	03/03/2004	Bruce Alan Faulk		3089
Thomas A. Turner, Jr. 11377 West Olympic Boulevard			EXAMINER	
			STIBLEY, MICHAEL R	
Los Angeles, C.	A 90064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,641	FAULK, BRUCE ALAN	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	, t <u>=</u> , t
	MICHAEL R. STIBLEY	3688	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$,
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on 			
after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
See Continuation Sheet			
/Michael Stibley/ 06/19/08	/Jean Janvier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 3688	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment:

The Examiner called the Attorney of record and left a message, regarding the status of the Instant Application, on the Attorney's VMS (voice mail system). However, the Attorney has not yet responded to the Examiner's phone call. Since there has been no recent activity on this case for over six months after the non- final office action on the merits was issued, the Examiner has now decided to abandon the Instant Application for failure to timely respond to the last office action under 37 CFR 1.134 and 1.135.